

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/660,660	STIGSSON, LARS	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Anna Kinney	1731	

All Participants:

 (1) Anna Kinney.

 (2) Benoit Castel.
Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 May 2005
Time: 10:30 AM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 through 3, 5, and 9 through 14.

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner informed Mr. Castel of potential objections and potential rejections under 35 USC 112. Mr. Castel advised the Examiner which corrections and changes to make in an Examiner's Amendment to overcome the problems discussed. Among other items, Mr. Castel requested that a new claim be added (claim 14) to resolve a range within a range present in the existing claim 13. Further detail regarding the approved changes is provided in the Examiner's Amendment..